

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,383	04/15/2004	Michael J. Shanor	380-177	8453	
1009 KING & SCHI	7590 05/25/2007		EXAMINER		
KING & SCHICKLI, PLLC 247 NORTH BROADWAY			SNIDER, THERESA T		
LEXINGTON,	KY 40507		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/825,383	SHANOR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Theresa T. Snider	1744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 March 2007</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposit	ion of Claims	,				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the a	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1-3,6,8-9,11-12,15,17-18 and 21-23 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>4,5,7,10,13,14,16 and 19</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	·r.				
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachmen			•			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F				

Application/Control Number: 10/825,383 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6, 8, 11, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lackner et al.(4,653,638).

Lackner et al. discloses a body (fig. 1, #10).

Lackner et al. discloses a first means/wand receiver on the body for holding a wand (fig. 2, #13).

Lackner et al. discloses a second means/cleaning tool receiver in the first means/wand receiver for holding a cleaning tool (fig. 5, #33b).

With respect to claim 6, Lackner et al. discloses the wand receiver including a socket (fig. 2, #13).

With respect to claims 8 and 17, Lackner et al. discloses the socket including an end wall (fig. 4, #21).

With respect to claim 15, Lackner et al. discloses the first receiver including a socket (fig. 2, #13).

3. Claims 1-3, 6, 11-12, 15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tomasiak(5,528,794).

Tomasiak discloses a body (fig. 5, #3).

Application/Control Number: 10/825,383

Art Unit: 1744

Tomasiak discloses a first means/wand receiver on the body for holding a wand (fig. 4, #25).

Tomasiak discloses a second means/cleaning tool receiver in the first receiver for holding a cleaning tool (fig. 4, #29).

With respect to claims 3 and 12, Tomasiak discloses a lug (figs. 3-4, unnumbered element to left of #17).

With respect to claim 6, Tomasiak discloses the wand receiver including a socket (fig. 4, #25).

With respect to claim 15, Tomasiak discloses the first receiver including a socket (fig. 4, #25).

With respect to claim 23, Tomasiak discloses a vacuum cleaner including the tool holder (fig. 1, #5).

4. Claims 1-2, 6, 8-9, 11, 15, 17-18 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Berfield(5,313,686).

Berfield discloses a body (fig. 2, #52).

Berfield discloses a first means/wand receiver on the body for holding a wand (fig. 2, #64).

Berfield discloses a second means/cleaning tool receiver in the first receiver for holding a cleaning tool (fig. 6, #68, col. 2, lines 38-40).

With respect to claim 6, Berfield discloses the wand receiver including a socket (fig. 2, #64).

Art Unit: 1744

With respect to claims 8 and 17, Berfield discloses the socket including an end wall (fig. 6, unnumbered wall at end of #64).

With respect to claims 9 and 18, Berfield discloses the cleaning tool receiver in the end wall (fig. 6, #68).

With respect to claim 15, Berfield discloses the first receiver including a socket (fig. 2, #64).

With respect to claims 21-23, Berfield discloses a vacuum cleaner including the tool holder (fig. 1, #26).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-5, 7, 10, 13-14, 16 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the prior art fails to disclose a cleaning tool receiver in a wand receiver. This argument is not persuasive because Lackner et al. discloses a first receiver in a body being the walls of the openings (fig. 3, #32a,b). Lackner et al. discloses a second receiver in the first receiver (fig. 5, #33b). The second receiver receives a tool (col. 4, lines 13-23). This argument is not persuasive because Tomasiak discloses a first receiver in a body being the walls of the openings (fig. 4, #25). Tomasiak discloses a second receiver in the first receiver (figs. 3-4,

Art Unit: 1744

#29). One could place a cleaning tool within the second receiver/projection or the tool could be engaged by the outer surface of the second receiver/projection. This argument is not persuasive because Berfield discloses a first receiver in a body being the walls of the receptacle (fig. 2, #66). Berfield discloses a second receiver/post in the first receiver (figs. 3 and 6, #68). A cleaning tool could be engaged by the outer surface of the second receiver/post.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theresa T. Snider whose telephone number is (571) 272-1277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (5:30am-2:00pm).

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> (Sheresa S. Inider THERESAT. SNIDER

PRIMARY-EXAMINER

Theresa T. Snider **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1744

5/18/07